

The Orissa Gazette

EXTRAORDINARY
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

No. 794, CUTTACK, WEDNESDAY, JUNE 7, 2006/ JAISTHA 17, 1928

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

The 18th May 2006

No.3815-Ii/1(B)-105/1993/LE.— In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the award dated the 28th January 2006 in I.D.Case No.270/1995 of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bhubaneswar to whom the industrial disputes between the Management of O.T.M. Ltd., Choudwar, Cuttack and its workmen 1. Haramani Panda, 2. Pramoda Kumar Panda, 3. Prakash Kumar Panda, 4. Pradip Kumar Panda, 5. Premalata Panda, 6. Puspanjali Panda, 7. Pravasini Mohapatra was referred for adjudication is hereby published as in the schedule below.

SCHEDULE

IN THE LABOUR COURT : BHUBANESWAR

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE CASE No. 270 of 1995

The 28th January 2006

Present :

Shri P.K. Sahoo, O.S.J.S.(Jr. Branch),
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court,
Bhubaneswar.

Between :

The Management of O.T.M. Ltd.,
Choudwar, Cuttack.

...First Party— Management

AND

Their Workmen

1. Haramani Panda
2. Pramoda Kumar Panda
3. Prakash Kumar Panda
4. Pradip Kumar Panda
5. Premalata Panda
6. Puspanjali Panda
7. Pravasini Mohapatra

...Second Party— Workman

Appearances :

None

...For both the parties.

AWARD

The Government of Orissa in the Labour & Employment Department in exercise of powers conferred upon them by sub-section (5) of section 12 read with clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) have referred the following dispute for adjudication vide their Order No. 11259/LE., dated the 25th August 1995.

“Whether the termination of services of Shri Nibaram Panda, Watchman by way of refusal of employment by the Management of M/s. Orissa Textile Mills Ltd., Choudwar, Dist. Cuttack w.e.f. the 7th November 1981 is legal and/or justified ? If not, to what relief he is entitled to ?”

2. The concerned workman is absent on repeated calls. No steps taken on his behalf. The case is posted today for *ex parte* hearing. No prayer is also made by the workman to adjourn the case to some other date for *ex parte* hearing. On perusal of the case record it is seen that, the workman has been remained absent since the 18th April 2005. Since the concerned workman is absent today no award is possible. Hence a no dispute Award is passed accordingly.

Dictated & corrected by me.

P.K. SAHOO
Dt.28-01-2006,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court,
Bhubaneswar

P.K. SAHOO
Dt.28-01-2006,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court,
Bhubaneswar

By order of the Governor

N.C. RAY
Under- Secretary to Government